## Literature Review Abstract Scoring Rubric

Scoring Guide: Meets Criteria = (2); Partially Meets Criteria = (1); Absent or Inadequate $=(0)$

|  | Meets Criteria (2) | Partially Meets Criteria (1) | Absent or Inadequate (0) | Score: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Introduction $20 \%$ of total score | Thorough evaluation of the existing literature that informs the goal of the paper; problem statement is reasonable and clearly defined; objective/aims are reasonable and clearly defined and linked to the problem statement | Some evaluation of the existing literature done, but does not seem to inform goals of the paper; some attempt to define the problem statement and objective/aims but still unclear | Minimal or no evaluation of the existing literature done; objective/aims are poorly defined and/or unachievable or not listed |  |
| Methods $20 \%$ of total score | Complex search strategy defined and exact search terms/phrases are included (use of MeSH / subject headings, etc.); searched multiple academic databases, including PubMed, CINAHL, \& Cochrane Database; lists inclusion/exclusion criteria | Competent search strategy including some search terms/phrases; searched multiple academic databases but may not have included/utilized all appropriate search venues; inclusion and exclusion criteria are missing or not clearly defined | No methods are listed or performed a single keyword search only; only searched with Google, Google Scholar and/or PubMed |  |
| Results $20 \%$ of total score | Literature is well organized and explained; includes exact numbers of articles reviewed; synthesizes diverse results into something new and relevant | Literature is organized; there was an attempt to synthesize results but misses some key points | Literature is loosely organized or listed "book report" style with no synthesis, organization or original thought. |  |
| Discussion $20 \%$ of total score | Clearly synthesizes and relates findings to the current literature; draws a new conclusion; identifies gaps in current research and next steps for future research | Summarizes information but does not adequately synthesize results with current literature | Unclear synthesis of findings with current literature; only a rehashing of results; no new conclusions are drawn |  |
| Writing Style $20 \%$ of total score | Concise and well written, no errors, between 300-350 words | Minor grammar and spelling errors, writing is overly wordy, between 250300 words | Major grammar and spelling errors, writing lacks significant editing or editing was not done, less than 250 words |  |

